RESEARCH

Open Access

Check for updates

Risk factors of acute renal failure in patients with protective ileostomy after rectal cancer surgery

Marghich Omar^{1*}, Anis Tarek¹, Bouassria Abdeslam¹, Efoé-Ga Yawod Olivier Amouzou², Ousadden Abdelmalek¹, Ait Taleb Khalid¹, El Bouhaddouti Hicham¹, Mouaqit Ouadii¹, Mazaz Khalid¹, Rahili Mohamed Amine², Benjelloun El Bachir³ and Benizri Emmanuel²

Abstract

Background Despite the potential benefits of protective ileostomy in rectal surgery, diverting loop ileostomy construction is not free of specific medical consequences implying unplanned hospital readmissions. The most common reason for readmission in these patients is a dehydration with a prevalence of acute renal failure (ARF) of 20%. The objective of this study was to establish the predictive factors of ARF in patients with protective ileostomy after surgery for rectal cancer from a bicentric study.

Methods we conducted a bicentric retrospective cohort study to identify the risk factor of ARF. This study was carried out on 277 patients operated for rectal cancer with necessity of a protective ileostomy during the study period. ARF was measured at any endpoint between ileostomy creation and reversal. Multiple logistic regressions were performed to identify independent risk factors.

Results A total of 277 patients were included, and 18% (n = 50) were readmitted for ARF. In multivariate logistic regression, increased age (OR 1.02, p = 0.01), Psychiatric diseases (OR 4.33, p = 0.014), Angiotensin II receptor blockers (OR 5.15, p < 0.001) and the ASA score \geq 3 (OR 9.5, p < 0.001) were significantly associated with ARF.

Conclusion Acute renal failure is a prevalent and significant event in the postoperative course of ileostomy patients. Patients at risk should be risk stratified before discharge and targeted for intensive preventive measures.

Keywords Rectal cancer, Protective ileostomy, Risk factors, Acute renal failure

*Correspondence:

Marghich Omar

omar.marghich@gmail.com

¹Department of Visceral Surgery, University Hospital Hassan 2, Fes, Morocco

²General and Oncology Surgery Unit, Archet 2 Hospital, University

Hospital of Nice, Nice, France

³Faculty of Medicine, Pharmacy and Dental Medicine, Sidi Mohamed Ben Abdellah University, Fes, Morocco



© The Author(s) 2023. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

Introduction

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is already the third leading cause of cancer death in the world, and its incidence is steadily rising in developing nations. The CRC is more incident among men than women and 3–4 times more common in developed than in developing nations. [1]

Surgery is the major treatment modality and is often supplemented by preoperative neoadjuvant radiotherapy and chemotherapy or postoperative adjuvant chemotherapy. [2]

Anterior resection of rectal cancer is the major analpreservation operation. However, anastomotic leakage is one of the most important surgical complications of colorectal surgery, and it has been of great concern due to high occurrence of morbidity and mortality. The proximal diversion, either by a colostomy or an ileostomy, by preventing fecal flow through the anastomosis, minimizes the consequences of the anastomotic leak. [3]

The current trend favors protective ileostomies rather than colostomies in the protection of colorectal anastomose [4]; However, protective loop ileostomy was found to be associated with a high morbidity. In addition to local complications, the presence of an ileostomy "shortcircuits" colic stool dehydration resulting in chronic water and sodium depletion. It is therefore not surprisingly, that the most common reason for readmission in these patients is a dehydration with a prevalence of acute renal failure (ARF) of 20% [5–10].

In order to establish strategies for the prevention of ARF within those patients it is necessary to determine the risk factors.

The objective of this study is to establish the predictive factors of ARF in patients with protective ileostomy after surgery for rectal cancer from a bicentric study.

Methods

We carried out a bicentric retrospective cohort study, performed at the department of general surgery and digestive oncology of the university hospital of l'Archet 2 (Nice, France) and the department of general surgery of the university hospital Hassan II (Fez, Morocco).

Patients undergoing surgeries from January 2009 to December 2019 in the university hospital l'Archet 2 and from January 2015 to December 2020 in the university hospital Hassan II were retrospectively identified from the surgical act's archives. Patients undergoing elective rectal resection with primary anastomosis and formation of a protective ileostomy were included in the study. Exclusion criteria were: pathology other than rectal adenocarcinoma, sigmoid colonic cancer and palliative loop ileostomy.

ARF, defined by the Acute Kidney Injury Network (AKIN) [8], was measured at any endpoint (outpatient

consultation, readmission in surgery, emergency or acute care unit) between ileostomy creation and reversal.

Baseline data collected included: age, sex, cardiovascular risk factors and cardiovascular diseases, chronic alcoholism, chronic smoking, renal disease (defined as any nephropathy with or without decreased glomerular filtration rate), respiratory disease (asthma, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, pulmonary tuberculosis), psychiatric diseases (dementia, depressive disorder), and long-term medication use. The ASA score, a comorbidity classification associated with post-operative morbidity and the Clavien-Dindo complication scoring system, classifying postoperative complications based on therapy required were calculated for each patient. Other variables such as neoadjuvant treatment, surgical approach and season of the procedure were collected.

Statistical analysis

The data was stratified based on whether the patient presented ARF in the follow up.

The data were entered and coded on Excel, the statistical analysis was done in two steps.

-1st step: We performed a descriptive analysis of the collected data. The results were presented in the form of percentages for the qualitative variables and means±standard deviation for the quantitative variables.

-2nd step: univariate analysis was used to determine the factors associated with acute renal failure using the Student and Chi 2 statistical tests then a multivariate analysis using stepwise multiple logistic regression was performed. The independent variables for the model were selected from univariate analysis based on a threshold p-value of 0.20. The results are reported in commented tables. A p < 0.05 was considered significant.

Results

We report in this study 277 patients operated for rectal cancer with necessity of a protective ileostomy during the study period. 159 (57%) were male and the median age was 60.95 years ± 12.48 (range between 27 and 90 years). 50 of 277 patients (18%) were readmitted for ARF during their follow-up period prior to the stoma closure.

Diabetes and high blood pressure were the most common comorbidities within our patients, respectively 14.1% and 27.1%. Table 1.

Two hundred thirty-three of our patients (84.1%) had an ASA score <3. Neo adjuvant treatment either by radiochemotherapy or exclusive radiotherapy was initiated in 238(85.9%) of our patients. A laparoscopic approach was used in184(66.4%) of our patients and a laparotomy in 93(33.6%) of patients. 62 (22.5%) of our patients presented postoperative complications classified according to the Clavien-Dindo classification (Table 2).

Table 1 Comorbidities of the population in the study

Comorbidities	Number	Percentage
Diabetes	39	14.1
High blood pressure	75	27.1
Cardiovascular diseases	24	8.7
Respiratory diseases	18	6.5
Psychiatric diseases	18	6.5
Renal diseases	8	2.9
Chronic alcoholism	37	13.4
Chronic smoking	58	20.9
Dyslipidemia	34	12.3

Table 2 Post operative complications according to the Clavien-Dindo classification

Complications: Clavien-Dindo classification	Number	Percentage
All grades	62	22.5
Grade 1	27	9.7
Grade 2	12	4.4
Grade 3	23	8.4

Seventy-four of our patients (26.7%) were operated in autumn, 74 (26.7%) in winter, 90 (32.5%) in spring and 39 (14.1%) in summer.

Among the 55 patients readmitted for ARF, 15 patients (30%) progressed to chronic kidney disease and 4 patients (8%) have died.

Univariate analysis identified increased age, high blood pressure, diabetes, renal diseases, psychiatric diseases (dementia, depressive disorder), medications (diuretics, angiotensin II receptor blockers and ACE inhibitors) and ASA score \geq 3 were significantly associated with an ARF in the follow up course. Table 3.

In multivariate analysis, increased age, the ASA score, psychiatric diseases and ARA 2 were independently associated with an acute renal failure. Table 4.

Discussion

The objective of this study was to establish the predictive factors of acute renal failure in patients with protective ileostomy after surgery for rectal cancer in order to establish strategies for the prevention of patients at risk.

This study is, to our knowledge, one of the few cohorts studying the risk factors for the occurrence of renal failure after protective ileostomy for rectal cancer surgery.

The question has been treated in a different way by other researchers. Some have focused on readmission rates and the impact of ileostomy on kidney function [11-14].

The results of this study reinforce the findings of previous studies. Thus, our acute renal failure rate was 18%, a rate close to those of Gessler et al. [15] (21%) and Beck-Kaltenbach et al. [7] (30%).

The univariate analysis showed that increased age, high blood pressure, diabetes, renal diseases, psychiatric

diseases (dementia, depressive disorder), medications (diuretics, angiotensin II receptor blockers and ACE inhibitors) and ASA score \geq 3 were significantly associated with an acute renal failure. After the multivariate analysis, only increased age, ASA score, psychiatric diseases and angiotensin II receptor blockers were independently associated with an acute renal failure.

Age was the most identified risk factor responsible for acute renal failure in the literature as reported by Gessler [15] in his series published in 2014, paquette [11] in his series published in 2013 and Fiedeling [13] in his series published in 2020. For high blood pressure, only one study in the literature, that of Gessler [15], has shown its involvement in the occurrence of acute renal failure. In our study, high blood pressure did not reach the significance threshold. The use of antihypertensive drugs can be a source of readmission for ARF. This concerns ARA2, reported by Fiedeling [13] and diuretics reported by Messaris [5]. In our study, angiotensin II receptor blockers were significantly associated with readmissions for ARF. However, psychiatric diseases (dementia, depressive disorder) and an ASA score \geq 3 represent to our knowledge new findings in the literature.

The season of the operative act, a variable studied for the first time in the literature to our knowledge, was studied to investigate whether the higher incidence of dehydration during summer months could exacerbate kidney damage but it was not associated with ARF in our research.

It should be highlighted that ARF is a serious condition that can have severe consequences for patients. Our study showed that among the 55 patients who were readmitted for ARF, 15 patients (30%) progressed to chronic kidney disease and 4 patients (8%) died. We did not find any data in the literature regarding the evolution of patients with ARF due to an ileostomy.

This study has multiple clinical implications, it will allow us to reinforce the attention needed for patients with ileostomies in their postoperative course both inside and outside the hospital and to establish prevention measures for high-risk patients and therefore it will allow us to improve the outcomes in this population.

Limitations of our study include the retrospective nature of the study and the fact that we did not measure ileostomy output or collect data about the use of loperamide; however, this study is one of the few cohorts studying the risk factors for the occurrence of renal failure after protective ileostomy for rectal cancer surgery.

Conclusion

Acute renal failure is a prevalent and significant event in the postoperative course of ileostomy patients occurring in 18% of them. The causes are heterogeneous, but the most influential predictive factors appear to be the

Table 3 Summary of the univariate analysis

	All patients N=277	Acute renal failure N = 50(18.0%)	No acute renal failure N = 227(82.0%)	Р
Sex				P=0.345
Μ	159(57.4%)	32(64.0%)	127(55.9%)	
F	118(42.6%)	18(36.0%)	100(44.1%)	
Median age	60.95 ± 12.48	68.50±12.17	59.29 ± 11.95	P=0.001
Comorbidities				
High blood pressure	75(27.1%)	27(54.0%)	48(21.1%)	P=0.001
Diabetes	39(14.1%)	14(28.0%)	25(11.0%)	P=0.003
Chronic smoking	58(20.9%)	12(24.0%)	46(20.3%)	P=0.756
Dyslipidemia	34(12.3%)	9(18.0%)	25(11.0%)	P=0.231
Chronic alcoholism	37(13.4%)	4(8.0%)	33(14.5%)	P=0.259
Psychiatric disease	18(6.5%)	8(16.0%)	10(4.4%)	P=0.007
Cardiovascular diseases	24(8.7%)	8(16.0%)	16(7.0%)	P=0.082
Respiratory disease	18(6.5%)	4(8.0%)	14(6.2%)	P=0.750
Renal disease	8(2.9%)	4(8.0%)	4(1.8%)	P=0.038
Treatment				
diuretics	26(9.4%)	9(18.0%)	17(7.5%)	P=0.037
ACE inhibitors	27(9.7%)	12(24.0%)	15(6.6%)	P=0.001
Calcium channel blockers	20(7.2%)	5(10.0%)	15(6.6%)	P=0.545
angiotensin II receptor blockers	33(11.9%)	18(36.0%)	15(6.6%)	P=0.001
ASA score				P=0.001
<3	233(84.1%)	24(48.0%)	209(92.1%)	
≥3	44(15.9%)	26(52.0%)	18(7.9%)	
Neo adjuvant treatment	238(85.9%)	45(90%)	193(85%)	P=0.386
Surgery				P=0.741
Coelioscopic approach	184(66.4%)	32(64.0%)	152(67.0%)	
Laparotomy approach	93(33.6%)	18(36.0%)	75(33.0%)	
Post operative complications				P=0.365
Clavien-Dindo classification				
1	27(9.7%)	4(8.0%)	23(10.2%)	
2	12(4.4%)	2(4.0%)	10(4.4%)	
3	23(8.4%)	5(10.0%)	18(7.9%)	
Season of the procedure				P=0.280
Autumn	74 (26,7%)	12(24,0%)	62(27,3%)	
Winter	74 (26,7%)	10(20,0%)	64(28,2%)	
Spring	90 (32,5%)	22(44,0%)	68(30,0%)	
Summer	39 (14,1%)	6(12,0%)	33(14,5%)	

Table 4 Multiple Logistic Regression Results

	OR	95% CI	Р
Age	1.02	0.98-1.05	P=0.01
Psychiatric disease			P=0.014
No	1	1	
yes	4.33	1.34-14.00	
Angiotensin II receptor blockers			P<0.001
No	1	1	
Yes	5.15	2.08-12.74	
ASA score			P<0.001
< 3	1	1	
≥3	9.50	4.32-20.87	

age, ASA score, psychiatric diseases, and use of ARA2. Patients at risk should be risk stratified before discharge and targeted for intensive preventive measures fighting dehydration; high stoma output and low water and electrolytes input.

List of Abbreviations

ACE Angiotensin-Converting Enzyme

AKIN Acute Kidney Injury Network

ARF Acute renal failure

ASA American Society of Anesthesiologists

CRC Colorectal cancer

Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

Authors' contributions

Benjelloun El Bachir, Benizri Emmanuel, Marghich Omar, Rahili Mohamed Amine, Mazaz Khalid, Mouaquit ouadii, El Bhouaddouti Hicham, Ait Taleb Khalid, Efoé-Ga Yawod Olivier Amouzou and Bouasria Abdeslam have conceived and coordinated the study. Benizri Emmanuel have done the design of the study. Marghich Omar and Anis Tarek have done the data collection. Marghich Omar has done the interpretation of the data and the redaction of the manuscript. Benizri Emmanuel and Benjelloun El Bachir have corrected the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

The authors received no specific funding for this study.

Data Availability

All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The local institutional review board of surgery at the university hospital Hassan II of Fez and the university hospital l'Archet 2 of Nice had approved this retrospective study and also gave its approval to waive the patients informed consent with the emphasis on patient's confidentiality.

All methods used in this study were carried out in accordance with relevant guidelines and regulations and approved by the head of the division of abdominal surgery in the university hospital Hassan II of Fes, Morocco and the university hospital l'Archet 2 of Nice, France.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Received: 7 January 2023 / Accepted: 25 April 2023 Published online: 28 April 2023

References

- Rawla, P., Sunkara, T. & Barsouk, A. Epidemiology of colorectal cancer: incidence, mortality, survival, and risk factors. Prz Gastroenterol 14, 89–103 (2019).
- Gérard, J.-P. et al. Rectal cancer: French Intergroup clinical practice guidelines for diagnosis, treatments and follow-up (SNFGE, FFCD, GERCOR, UNICANCER, SFCD, SFED, SFRO). Dig Liver Dis 49, 359–367 (2017).
- Matthiessen, P., Hallböök, O., Rutegård, J., Simert, G. & Sjödahl, R. Defunctioning stoma reduces symptomatic anastomotic leakage after low anterior

- Rondelli, F. et al. Loop ileostomy versus loop colostomy for fecal diversion after colorectal or coloanal anastomosis: a meta-analysis. Int J Colorectal Dis 24, 479–488 (2009).
- Messaris, E. et al. Dehydration is the most common indication for readmission after diverting ileostomy creation. Dis Colon Rectum 55, 175–180 (2012).
- Stoma complications: a literature overview Shabbir 2010 Colorectal Disease - Wiley Online Library. https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1463-1318.2009.02006.x
- 7. Beck-Kaltenbach, N., Voigt, K. & Rumstadt, B. Renal impairment caused by temporary loop ileostomy. Int J Colorectal Dis 26, 623–626 (2011).
- 8. Hilton, R. Defining acute renal failure. CMAJ 183, 1167–1169 (2011).
- Güenaga, K. F., Lustosa, S. a. S., Saad, S. S., Saconato, H. & Matos, D. Ileostomy or colostomy for temporary decompression of colorectal anastomosis. Cochrane Database Syst Rev CD004647 (2007) https://doi. org/10.1002/14651858.CD004647.pub2
- Tilney, H. S. et al. Comparison of outcomes following ileostomy versus colostomy for defunctioning colorectal anastomoses. Database of abstracts of reviews of Effects (DARE): Quality-assessed Reviews [Internet] (Centre for Reviews and Dissemination (UK), 2007).
- Paquette, I. M., Solan, P., Rafferty, J. F., Ferguson, M. A. & Davis, B. R. Readmission for dehydration or renal failure after ileostomy creation. Dis Colon Rectum 56, 974–979 (2013).
- 12. Li, L. et al. lleostomy creation in colorectal cancer surgery: risk of acute kidney injury and chronic kidney disease. J Surg Res 210, 204–212 (2017).
- 13. Fielding, A. et al. Renal impairment after ileostomy formation: a frequent event with long-term consequences. Colorectal Dis 22, 269–278 (2020).
- Smith, S. A. et al. New ileostomy formation and subsequent communityonset Acute and chronic kidney disease: a Population-based Cohort Study. Ann Surg 274, 352–358 (2021).
- 15. Gessler, B., Haglind, E. & Angenete, E. A temporary loop ileostomy affects renal function. Int J Colorectal Dis 29, 1131–1135 (2014).

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.