# RESEARCH

# **Open Access**

# Trunk fat volume can be a predictor of postoperative complications after gastrectomy: a retrospective cohort study



Shinichiro Shiomi, Tetsuro Toriumi, Koichi Yagi, Raito Asaoka, Yasuhiro Okumura, Kotaro Wakamatsu, Susumu Aikou, Hiroharu Yamashita, Sachiyo Nomura and Yasuyuki Seto<sup>\*</sup>

## Abstract

**Background:** Obesity can affect postoperative outcomes of gastrectomy. Visceral fat area is superior to body mass index in predicting postoperative complications. However, visceral fat area measurement is time-consuming and is not optimum for clinical use. Meanwhile, trunk fat volume (TFV) can be easily measured via bioelectrical impedance analysis. Hence, the current study aimed to determine the ability of TFV to predict the occurrence of complications after gastrectomy.

**Methods:** We retrospectively reviewed patients who underwent curative gastrectomy for gastric cancer between November 2016 and November 2019. The trunk fat volume-to-the ideal amount (%TFV) ratio was obtained using InBody 770 before surgery. The patients were classified into the obese and nonobese groups according to %TFV (TFV-H group,  $\geq$  150%; TFV-L group, < 150%) and body mass index (BMI-H group,  $\geq$  25 kg/m<sup>2</sup>; BMI-L group, < 25 kg/m<sup>2</sup>). We compared the short-term postoperative outcomes (e.g., operative time, blood loss volume, number of resected lymph nodes, and duration of hospital stay) between the obese and nonobese patients. Risk factors for complications were assessed using logistic regression analysis.

**Results:** In total, 232 patients were included in this study. The TFV-H and BMI-H groups had a significantly longer operative time than the TFV-L (p = 0.022) and BMI-L groups (p = 0.006). Moreover, the TFV-H group had a significantly higher complication rate (p = 0.004) and a lower number of resected lymph nodes (p < 0.001) than the TFV-L group. In the univariate analysis, %TFV  $\geq$  150, total or proximal gastrectomy, and open gastrectomy were found to be potentially associated with higher complication rates (p < 0.1 for all). Moreover, the multivariate analysis revealed that %TFV  $\geq$  150 (OR: 2.73; 95% CI: 1.37–5.46; p = 0.005) and total or proximal gastrectomy (OR: 3.57; 95% CI: 1.79–7.12; p < 0.001) were independently correlated with postoperative morbidity.

**Conclusions:** %TFV independently affected postoperative complications. Hence, it may be a useful parameter for the evaluation of obesity and a predictor of complications after gastrectomy.

Keywords: Gastrectomy, Obesity, Intra-abdominal fat, Postoperative complication

## Background

Gastric cancer is the sixth most common cancer worldwide [1], and gastrectomy has been considered essential for its curative treatment. Postoperative complications adversely affect the long-term survival of patients with gastric cancer. Therefore, the risk factors of postoperative

\*Correspondence: seto-tky@umin.ac.jp Department of Gastrointestinal Surgery, Graduate School of Medicine, University of Tokyo, 7-3-1 Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-8655, Japan



© The Author(s) 2021. This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/publicdo-main/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

complications should be identified and postoperative complication rates reduced [2].

Excessive intra-abdominal fat tissue poses difficulties during surgery. Obesity is associated with short-term surgical outcomes, including complications after gastrectomy [3, 4], and is evaluated using several methods. Body mass index (BMI) is the most widely used tool for obesity assessment because it is easy to use, and usually, individuals with BMI > 25 kg/m<sup>2</sup> are considered obese in Japan [5]. Visceral fat area (VFA), which refers to intraabdominal fat, is another index used to evaluate obesity. It is measured at the level of the umbilicus on singleslice computed tomography (CT) scan. Recently, several studies have reported that BMI cannot accurately reflect perioperative outcomes, and VFA is more accurate in predicting short-term postoperative outcomes [3, 4, 6-8]. However, measuring VFA is sometimes difficult at some institutions owing to various reasons, including labor shortages and technical limitations.

Trunk fat volume (TFV), which reflects the fat mass of the body trunk, is another parameter that can be used to evaluate obesity. TFV can be easily measured using bioelectrical impedance analysis (BIA). BIA is a simple method to measure body compositions, including fat mass; this method is increasingly used worldwide because it is noninvasive, cost-effective, and simple to use [9]. Recent studies have shown that body composition measurements can help in evaluating nutrition status after surgery and in predicting surgical outcomes [10]. However, to the best of our knowledge, the relationship between TFV and postoperative outcomes has not been reported in previous studies. Hence, this study aimed to identify the efficacy of TFV in predicting outcomes after gastrectomy.

## Methods

## Patients

This was a single institutional retrospective cohort study conducted at the University of Tokyo Hospital. In total, 278 patients underwent gastrectomy for gastric cancer from November 2016 to November 2019. At our institution, preoperative examinations included upper gastrointestinal endoscopy with biopsies, CT scans, and laboratory tests. Moreover, we routinely measure body composition preoperatively using BIA with written consent. Clinical cancer stage was determined according to the Japanese Classification of Gastric Carcinoma (15th edition) [11]. Treatment strategies were mainly based on the Japanese gastric cancer treatment guidelines (4 and 5th editions) [12, 13]. Patients who could not undergo curative resection and those who received neoadjuvant chemotherapy were excluded. Study procedures were performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. The ethics committee of the Faculty of Medicine at the University of Tokyo approved this study and waived the requirement for informed consent as anonymized data were used (approval number: 3962).

## Definition of obesity assessed using BMI and TFV

The patients were divided into obese and nonobese groups according to both BMI and TFV. Patients with  $BMI \ge 25 \text{ kg/m}^2$  were classified under the BMI high (BMI-H) group and patients with  $BMI < 25 \text{ kg/m}^2$  under the BMI low (BMI-L) group. We obtained the TFV and body fat mass (BFM) using BIA with InBody 770<sup>®</sup> (InBody Co., Ltd., Seoul, Korea) one or two days before surgery. This tool uses direct segmental multifrequency BIA. It introduces alternating currents into the body and measures impedance, which comprises resistance and reactance. Moreover, it uses eight electrodes and individually measures the impedance of each body part (e.g., the trunk, right and left arms, and right and left legs) at six different frequencies to evaluate body compositions [14, 15]. The accuracy of BIA has been evaluated in several studies, and it is correlated with standard body composition parameters obtained using different modalities, such as dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry, CT scan, and air displacement plethysmography [9, 14, 16, 17]. We classified patients according to TFV in the following manner. First, we calculated the TFV-to-BFM ratios. The median in each sex was defined as an ideal distribution of trunk body fat (% in men and % in women, respectively). Second, we calculated the ideal BFM by multiplying the ideal body weight (height  $\times$  height  $\times$  22 for men and height  $\times$  height  $\times$  21 for women [18]) with the ideal percentage of body fat (15% for men and 23% for women [19]). Third, we defined the product by multiplying these two components as the ideal TFV. Finally, we calculated the TFV-to-the ideal TFV (%TFV) ratio of each patient. The median TFV-to-BFM ratio of men and women were 0.50 and 0.47, respectively. Following these calculations, we derived the following equations according to sex.

Men : % TFV = 
$$\frac{\text{TFV}}{(\text{height}^2 \times 21) \times 0.15 \times 0.50}$$
  
Women : % TFV = 
$$\frac{\text{TFV}}{(\text{height}^2 \times 22) \times 0.23 \times 0.47}$$

Since no study has reported the determination of obesity using TFV, we selected the threshold of obesity for TFV according to body fat percentage. The cutoff values of body fat percentage for obesity were reported to be 23.67% for men and 32.88% for women [20]. The ratios of the cutoff values to the aforementioned ideal values [19] were 158% and 143%, respectively. Based on these results, patients with  $TFV \ge 150\%$  and TFV < 150% were classified under the high TFV (TFV-H) and low TFV (TFV-L) groups, respectively.

#### Surgical procedures

As one of the roles of our institution is surgeon education, 25 surgeons including trainees performed gastrectomy during the study period. However, all surgical procedures were supervised by six experienced surgeons certified by the Japan Society of Gastrointestinal Surgery. We performed distal gastrectomy, total gastrectomy, proximal gastrectomy, or pylorus-preserving gastrectomy with radical lymphadenectomy as per the treatment guidelines of the Japanese Gastric Cancer Association [12, 13]. Laparoscopic gastrectomy was used in the preoperative diagnosis of T1-2N0 tumors. Patients who underwent distal or total gastrectomy had Roux-en-Y reconstruction. Esophagogastric anastomosis, jejunal interposition anastomosis, or side overlap esophagogastrostomy that is based on the study of Yamashita [21] was conducted for the reconstruction of proximal gastrectomy. A gastro-gastro anastomosis was established for the reconstruction of pylorus-preserving gastrectomy.

## Evaluation of short-term postoperative outcomes

We have compared the short-term postoperative outcomes, including operative time, volume of blood loss, number of resected lymph nodes, and duration of hospital stay between the obese and nonobese patients. Moreover, the risk factors for complications were assessed. The Clavien–Dindo classification system [22] was used to assess postoperative morbidity. Complications greater than grade 2 were considered as clinically significant and those greater than grade 3a as severe.

#### Statistical analysis

The Pearson's chi-square test or Fisher's exact test was used in the univariate analysis. Meanwhile, logistic regression was utilized in the multivariate analysis, which was performed using factors with p values < 0.1 in the univariate analysis. The student's *t*-test or Wilcoxon signed-rank test was utilized for continuous value. Further, p values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant. JMP<sup>®</sup> 15 (SAS Institute Inc., Cavy, NC, the USA) was utilized for all statistical analyses.

## Results

## Characteristics of the patients

Of 278 patients, 14 who underwent R1 or R2 resection, and 32 who received neoadjuvant chemotherapy were excluded. Finally, 232 patients were included in our study. The characteristics of the patients are shown in Table 1. In both criteria, obese patients underwent significantly more open gastrectomy and had more severe comorbidities. Besides, the TFV-H group had a higher proportion of male patients, early-stage disease, and D1 + dissection, which were not evident in the BMI group. Other properties were comparable between the two groups. A significant correlation was observed between %TFV and BMI (r = 0.784, p < 0.001) (Fig. 1).

## Correlation between obesity and short-term outcomes

Table 2 shows the short-term outcomes between the obese and nonobese groups. The TFV-H group required a significantly longer operative time than the TFV-L group ( $305.1\pm67.5$  vs  $284.9\pm66.0$  min, p=0.022). Moreover, the TFV-H group had a lower number of resected lymph nodes (33 [interquartile range {IQR} 25–43] vs 42 [IQR 32-52.75], p < 0.001) than the TFV-L group. Although the BMI-H group had a significantly longer operative time than the BMI-L group ( $321.9\pm78.5$  vs  $288.1\pm62.2$  min, p=0.006), the number of resected lymph nodes did not differ significantly between the two groups. The volume of blood loss and duration of hospital stay were comparable between the two groups in both criteria.

#### **Risk factors of postoperative complications**

We evaluated the risk factors of postoperative complications. The overall complication rate was 24.6%. Table 3 shows the results of the univariate and multivariate analyses. In the univariate analysis,  $\text{MTFV} \ge 150$  (32.5 vs 16.1%, p = 0.004), total or proximal gastrectomy (47.3 vs 17.5%, p < 0.001), and open surgery (31.9 vs 21.5%, p = 0.092) were found to be factors potentially associated with higher complication rates with p values < 0.1. The multivariate analysis revealed that  $\text{MTFV} \ge 150$  (odds ratio [OR]: 2.73; 95% confidence interval [CI]: 1.37–5.46; p = 0.005) and total or proximal gastrectomy (OR: 3.57; 95% CI: 1.79–7.12; p < 0.001) were independently associated with postoperative morbidity.

#### **Details of postoperative complications**

The details of postoperative complications are presented in Table 4. Severe complications were also more common in the TFV-H group than in the TFV-L group although the difference was not significant (10.8 vs 4.5%, p=0.070). In terms of complications, anastomotic leakage, pancreatic fistula, and pneumonia were more common in the TFV-H group than in the TFV-L group. However, only the occurrence of pancreatic fistula was statistically significant (5.8 vs 0.9%, p=0.039). Meanwhile, the rate of each complication in the BMI-H group was comparable with that in the BMI-L group.

	TFV-H group $(n = 120)$	TFV-L group (n = 112)	<i>p</i> value	BMI-H group (n = 50)	BMI-L group $(n = 182)$	<i>o</i> value
Sex						
Male	92 (76.7%)	67 (59.8%)	0.006	35 (70.0%)	124 (68.1%)	0.865
Female	28 (23.3%)	45 (40.2%)		15 (30.0%)	58 (31.9%)	
Age (years), (mean ± SD)	70.1 土 10.0	68.9±12.8	0.411	66.8 土 11.8	70.3 土 11.3	0.056
%TFV (%), (mean ± SD)	219.7 土 54.9	94.0 土 38.5	< 0.001	289.1 ± 68.0	152.1 土 69.1	< 0.001
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ), (mean±SD)	24.7 土 3.2	20.3 土 2.6	< 0.001	27.4 ± 3.1	21.2±2.4	< 0.001
Clinical stage						
_	102 (85.0%)	68 (60.7%)	< 0.001	42 (84.0%)	123 (70.3%)	0.204
ША	0 (0.0%)	7 (6.3%)		0 (0.0%)	7 (3.9%)	
IIB	12 (10.0%)	25 (22.3%)		5 (10.0%)	32 (17.6%)	
=	6 (5.0%)	12 (10.7%)		4 (8.0%)	15 (8.2%)	
Open gastrectomy	24 (20.0%)	45 (40.2%)	< 0.001	7 (14.0%)	52 (34.1%)	0.005
Total/proximal gastrectomy	33 (27.5%)	22 (19.6%)	0.160	10 (20.0%)	45 (24.7%)	0.487
5 1 1 1	83 (69.2%)	59 (52.7%)	0.010	34 (68.1%)	108 (59.3%)	0.327
	37 (30.8%)	53 (47.3%)		16 (32.0%)	74 (40.7%)	
CCI						
S N	12 (10.0%)	4 (3.6%)	0.054	7 (14.0%)	9 (5.0%)	0.025
≤2	108 (90.0%)	108 (96.4%)		43 (86.0%)	173 (95.0%)	
Operative history	28 (23.3%)	35 (31.3%)	0.176	9 (18.0%)	54 (29.7%)	0.111
TFV trunk fat volume, SD standard deviation, BMI bod	dy mass index, LD lymph nod	le dissection, CC/ Charlson c	omorbidity index			

 Table 1
 Characteristics of the patients

Discussion

This study revealed that obesity evaluated using %TFV and total or proximal gastrectomy was independently associated with postoperative complications. The %TFV may be a better parameter than BMI for the prediction of postoperative complications such as pancreatic fistula. These findings indicate that TFV may help to determine the necessity of drain management or frequent following up of blood tests and X-ray examination in the postoperative period and enable the early detection of each complication.

Excessive visceral fat poses difficulties during surgery, and obesity is associated with unfavorable surgical outcomes, including longer operative time, higher postoperative complication rates, lower number of resected lymph nodes, and prolonged hospital stay [4, 8, 23, 24]. The results of this study are consistent with the results of previous reports. However, there were some differences in the background of patients included in this study. Compared with patients in the nonobese group, a higher proportion of patients in the obese group underwent laparoscopic gastrectomy. Since laparoscopic gastrectomy reportedly requires more time than laparotomy [25], the difference in operative time may be greatly affected by differences in background and body fat; thus, this data should be taken into consideration. Moreover, the proportion of female patients in the TFV-H group was significantly lower than that in the TFV-L group, which was not observed in the groups classified according to BMI. Theoretically, fat mass is more likely to accumulate in the visceral area in men than in women [6], and gastrectomy is mainly affected by visceral fat [26]. Hence, the complication rate might be lower in females, and the deviation in female distribution may affect the discordance in morbidity risk between %TFV and BMI. However, sex was not a significant risk factor affecting complications in the multivariate analysis. In addition, a higher complication rate was observed in TFV-H patients than that in TFV-L patients for both males (34.8% vs 16.4%) and females (28.6% vs 13.3%), which was not the case in the BMI-H and L groups (data not shown).

In this study, pancreatic fistula and anastomotic leakage were more common in the obese group classified according to TFV, and this result was in accordance with that of a previous study [27]. Previous studies have reported the possible causes of poor outcomes among obese patients. High visceral fat may be associated with the misrecognition of anatomy and technical difficulty in achieving a good view of the surgical field. Occasionally, this causes excessive countertraction and overcompression in the pancreas during lymph node dissection [8, 26-30]. These factors result in tissue trauma, increased volume of blood loss, prolonged operative time, and pancreatic fistula. Excessive tension on the anastomosis site due to thick and heavy mesenteric fat may be a risk factor for anastomotic leakage [23, 29]. In this study, pneumonia was more frequently observed in the obese group, which was consistent with

 Table 2
 Short-term surgical outcomes between obese and nonobese patients

	TFV-H group (n = 120)	TFV-L group (n = 112)	p value	BMI-H group (n = 50)	BMI-L group (n = 182)	p value
Operative time (min), (mean $\pm$ SD)	305.1±67.5	284.9±66.0	0.022	321.9±78.5	288.1±62.2	0.006
Volume of blood loss <sup>1</sup> (mL), (median [IQR])	100 (20–218.75)	85 (10–216.25)	0.279	117.5 (27.5–236.3)	75 (10–207.5)	0.148
Number of resected LN <sup>1</sup> (median [IQR])	33 (25–43)	42 (32–52.75)	< 0.001	34 (26–43)	38 (29–48)	0.112
Duration of hospital stay <sup>1</sup> (day), (median [IQR])	9 (8–12)	10 (9–13)	0.135	10 (9–13)	10 (9–12)	0.358

<sup>1</sup> Variables are indicated by median

TFV trunk fat volume, SD standard deviation, BMI body mass index, IQR interguartile range, LN lymph node



	Complications (+) (n = 57)	Complications (-)	Univariate analysis	Multivariate analysis	
	(n=57)	(n=175)		Odds ratio (95% CI)	p value
Sex					
Male (159)	43 (27.0%)	116 (73.0%)	0.196		
Female (73)	14 (19.2%)	59 (80.8%)			
Age (years)					
≥65(172)	45 (26.1%)	127 (73.9%)	0.340		
<65(60)	12 (20.0%)	48 (80.0%)			
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )					
≥25(50)	13 (26.0%)	37 (74.0%)	0.791		
< 25(182)	44 (24.2%)	141 (77.5%)			
%TFV					
≥150 (120)	39 (32.5%)	81 (67.5%)	0.004	2.73 (1.37–5.46)	0.005
<150 (112)	18 (16.1%)	94 (83.9%)			
cStage					
l (170)	39 (22.9%)	131 (77.1%)	0.340		
II A/II B/III (62)	18 (29.0%)	44 (71.0%)			
Surgery					
OP (69)	22 (31.9%)	47 (68.1%)	0.092	1.73 (0.84–3.57)	0.137
LAP (163)	35 (21.5%)	128 (78.5%)			
Procedure					
TG/PG (55)	26 (47.3%)	29 (52.7%)	< 0.001	3.57 (1.79–7.12)	< 0.001
DG/PPG (177)	31 (17.5%)	146 (82.5%)			
LD					
$\leq$ D1 + (142)	33 (23.2%)	109 (76.8%)	0.555		
≥D2 (90)	24 (26.7%)	66 (73.3%)			
CCI					
≥3 (16)	6 (37.5%)	10 (62.5%)	0.213		
< 2 (216)	51 (23.6%)	165 (76.4%)			
Operative history					
Yes (63)	12 (19.1%)	51 (80.9%)	0.233		
No (169)	45 (26.6%)	124 (73.4%)			

CI confidence interval, TFV trunk fat volume, BMI body mass index, LD lymph node dissection, CCI Charlson comorbidity index,

OP open, LAP laparoscopic, TG total gastrectomy, DG distal gastrectomy, PPG pylorus-preserving gastrectomy, PG proximal gastrectomy

the result of a previous study [31]. Obesity is associated with decreased total lung capacity attributed to high intra-abdominal pressure or excessive subcutaneous fat around the thorax [32]. Difficulty in clearing airway secretions and delayed ambulation may be contributory factors for pulmonary complications, such as atelectasis and pneumonia. However, the number of patients who had each complication was extremely low in this study, and only the occurrence of pancreatic fistula showed a significant difference. Thus, further investigations should be conducted to accurately identify the occurrence of complications after surgery.

BMI is the most commonly used parameter for the evaluation of obesity. Several studies have shown that

BMI was not a predictive factor of postoperative complications [3, 6, 8]. This is believed to be due to the simplicity of BMI as BMI is calculated using only height and weight and does not directly reflect fat volume [7, 8, 26]. On the contrary, some studies have demonstrated that higher BMI was associated with worse surgical outcomes [24, 27, 28], and thus, whether obesity determined using BMI can be used to predict operative risks remains controversial. Moreover, further investigations on the cutoff value are warranted. Although BMI of 25 is a widely accepted definition of obesity in Asia and most studies have used this cutoff value [3, 4, 6–8, 24, 27, 28], in several studies, including this study, there was a deviation in distribution between obese and nonobese patients [3,

	TFV-H group (n = 120)	TFV-L group (n = 112)	<i>p</i> value	BMI-H group (n = 50)	BMI-L group (n = 182)	<i>p</i> value
Complications (Clavien– Dindo classification score ≥ 2)	39 (32.5%)	18 (16.1%)	0.004	13 (26.0%)	44 (24.1%)	0.791
Severe complications (Clavien–Dindo classification score $\geq$ 3a)	13 (10.8%)	5 (4.5%)	0.070	4 (8.0%)	14 (7.7%)	0.943
Pneumonia	6 (5.0%)	1 (0.9%)	0.068	3 (6.0%)	4 (2.2%)	0.164
Anastomotic leakage	7 (5.8%)	3 (2.7%)	0.237	2 (4.0%)	8 (4.4%)	0.902
Pancreatic fistula	7 (5.8%)	1 (0.9%)	0.039	3 (6.0%)	5 (2.8%)	0.264
Incisional SSI	3 (2.5%)	6 (4.5%)	0.413	1 (2.0%)	7 (3.9%)	0.526
Anastomotic stenosis	3 (2.5%)	0 (0.0%)	0.092	0 (0.0%)	3 (1.7%)	0.361
Bleeding	1 (0.8%)	1 (0.9%)	0.961	0 (0.0%)	2 (1.1%)	0.457
Bowel obstruction	2 (1.7%)	0 (0.0%)	0.170	1 (2.0%)	1 (0.6%)	0.326
FUO	2 (1.7%)	1 (0.9%)	0.602	1 (2.0%)	2 (1.1%)	0.617
Delayed gastric ejection	3 (2.5%)	3 (2.7%)	0.932	0 (0.0%)	6 (3.3%)	0.193
Others	8 (6.7%)	3 (2.7%)	0.153	2 (4.0%)	9 (5.0%)	0.781

Table 4 Details of postoperative complications after gastrectomy

TFV trunk fat volume, BMI body mass index, SSI surgical site infection, FUO fever of unknown origin

7, 8]. This deviation might have affected the results and indicates that the cutoff value of 25 used to define obesity may be inappropriate for predicting the risk of postoperative complications. Hence, further studies are required to resolve this issue. In this study, we used %TFV obtained using BIA, which has been increasingly used in recent studies [14, 15]. Theoretically, %TFV can only evaluate trunk fat mass, whereas BMI assesses whole body elements including muscles and extremities. Therefore, the use of %TFV may be more suitable for the prediction of surgical risk. Our results were consistent with this theory. Another parameter that is used to evaluate obesity is VFA, which may directly reflect intra-abdominal fat and enable a more accurate prediction of postoperative complications compared with BMI [3, 8, 29, 30]. However, the measurement of VFA is difficult at our institution due to technical limitations involving CT image analysis. Therefore, VFA is not always suitable for clinical use, and other parameters are preferred at institutions with such limitations. %TFV may be inferior to VFA because it cannot distinguish subcutaneous fat from visceral fat. However, both parameters can provide a more accurate assessment of surgical risk than BMI. Hence, %TFV may be an alternative to VFA in institutions lacking modalities for routine VFA assessment.

Preoperative exercise intervention has been reported to be beneficial, especially in obese patients [33]. When we conduct preoperative interventions, there is a concern about tumor progression, particularly in patients with advanced cancer. However, preoperative wait time up to 90 days has been reported to not affect survival even in cStage II/III gastric cancer patients [34]. Hence, obese patients might have the benefits of fewer complications by preoperative exercise. In this study,  $\% TFV \ge 150$  was an independent risk factor for postoperative complications, and individuals with  $\% TFV \ge 150$  might be good candidates for preoperative intervention, although further study is warranted for a firm conclusion on the same.

The current study had several limitations. First, this was a retrospective, single-center study. Hence, it was susceptible to selection and cognitive bias. Hence, a larger multicenter study should be performed to obtain a firm conclusion. Second, different surgeons performed gastrectomy during the study period. Hence, the differences in the ability of the surgeons might have affected the results. Although skilled surgeons supervised trainee surgeons who performed gastrectomy, the effect might still be significant. Third, the ideal TFV was derived from the median TFV-to-BFM ratio, which was obtained from data in this study because no previous studies have discussed the ideal distribution of body fat. However, this value should be determined based on the general population. Finally, given the difference in fat distribution between men and women, the correlation between %TFV and postoperative complications may not be the same in both sexes, and the validity of using a similar %TFV threshold in both men and women is yet to be confirmed. Further studies should be conducted to identify the ideal threshold for each sex.

## Conclusions

Obesity evaluated using %TFV, but not BMI, is an independent risk factor of postoperative complications. %TFV can be an alternative parameter for predicting postoperative complications.

#### Abbreviations

BFM: Body fat mass; BIA: Bioelectrical impedance analysis; BMI: Body mass index; CT: Computed tomography; TFV: Trunk fat volume; VFA: Visceral fat area.

#### Acknowledgements

We would like to thank past and present members of our laboratory for accumulating data for analysis from the early stage of this work.

#### Authors' contributions

SS and TT equally contributed to the conception and design of the research; SS, TT, and RA contributed to the acquisition of the data; SS and TT contributed to the analysis and interpretation of the data; SS and TT drafted the manuscript. All authors critically revised the manuscript, agree to be fully accountable for ensuring the integrity and accuracy of the work, and read and approved the final manuscript. YS finally approved the publication of this research. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Funding

Not applicable.

## Availability of data and materials

All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article.

## Declarations

#### Ethics approval and consent to participate

This study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. The ethics committee of the Faculty of Medicine at the University of Tokyo approved this study and waived the requirement for informed consent as anonymized data were used. All methods were performed appropriately based on the Japanese guidelines for gastric cancer.

#### Consent for publication

Not applicable.

## **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Received: 27 January 2021 Accepted: 20 April 2021 Published online: 23 April 2021

#### References

- Bray F, Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Siegel RL, Torre LA, Jemal A. Global cancer statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. CA Cancer J Clin. 2018;68:394–424.
- Eichelmann AK, Saidi M, Lindner K, Lenschow C, Palmes D, Pascher A, Hummel R. Impact of preoperative risk factors on outcome after gastrectomy. World J Surg Oncol. 2020;18:17.
- Yang SJ, Li HR, Zhang WH, Liu K, Zhang DY, Sun LF, Chen XL, Zhao LY, Yang K, Chen ZX, Zhou ZG. Visceral fat area (VFA) Superior to BMI for predicting postoperative complications after radical gastrectomy: a prospective cohort study. J Gastrointest Surg. 2020;24:1298–306.
- Ri M, Aikou S, Seto Y. Obesity as a surgical risk factor. Ann Gastroenterol Surg. 2018;2:13–21.

- The Examination Committee of Criteria for "Obesity Disease" in Japan, Japan Society for the Study of Obesity. New criteria for "obesity disease" in Japan. Circ J. 2002;66:987–92.
- Miyaki A, Imamura K, Kobayashi R, Takami M, Matsumoto J. Impact of visceral fat on laparoscopy-assisted distal gastrectomy. Surgeon. 2013;11:76–81.
- Shin HJ, Son SY, Cui LH, Byun C, Hur H, Lee JH, Kim YC, Han SU, Cho YK. Is there any role of visceral fat area for predicting difficulty of laparoscopic gastrectomy for gastric cancer? J Gastric Cancer. 2015;15:151–8.
- Liu Y, Guo D, Niu Z, Wang Y, Fu G, Zhou Y, Xue Q, Jin X, Gong Z. Prediction of the risk of laparoscopy-assisted gastrectomy by comparing visceral fat area and body mass index. Gastroenterol Res Pract. 2018;2018:1359626.
- Sullivan PA, Still CD, Jamieson ST, Dixon CB, Irving BA, Andreacci JL. Evaluation of multi-frequency bioelectrical impedance analysis for the assessment of body composition in individuals with obesity. Obes Sci Pract. 2019;5:141–7.
- Mikamori M, Miyamoto A, Asaoka T, Maeda S, Hama N, Yamamoto K, Hirao M, Ikeda M, Sekimoto M, Doki Y, Mori M. Postoperative changes in body composition after pancreaticoduodenectomy using multifrequency bioelectrical impedance analysis. J Gastrointest Surg. 2016;20:611–8.
- 11. Association JGC. Japanese Classification of Gastric Carcinoma, the 15th Edition (in Japanese). Tokyo: Kanehara; 2017.
- 12. Japanese Gastric Cancer Association. Japanese gastric cancer treatment guidelines 2018 (5th edition). Gastric Cancer. 2020;24(1):1–2.
- 13. Japanese Gastric Cancer Association. Japanese gastric cancer treatment guidelines 2014 (4th edition). Gastric Cancer. 2017;20(1):1–19.
- Lee SY, Ahn S, Kim YJ, Ji MJ, Kim KM, Choi SH, Jang HC, Lim S. Comparison between dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry and bioelectrical impedance analyses for accuracy in measuring whole body muscle mass and appendicular skeletal muscle mass. Nutrients. 2018;10:738.
- Kyle UG, Bosaeus I, De Lorenzo AD, Deurenberg P, Elia M, Gómez JM, Heitmann BL, Kent-Smith L, Melchior JC, Pirlich M, Scharfetter H. Bioelectrical impedance analysis–part I: review of principles and methods. Clin Nutr. 2004;23:1226–43.
- Kim E, Kim S, Won D, Choi M, Lee I. Multifrequency bioelectrical impedance analysis compared with computed tomography for assessment of skeletal muscle mass in primary colorectal malignancy: a predictor of short-term outcome after surgery. Clin Nutr. 2020;35:664–74.
- Ramírez-Vélez R, Tordecilla-Sanders A, Correa-Bautista JE, González-Ruíz K, González-Jiménez E, Triana-Reina HR, García-Hermoso A, Schmidt-Rio-Valle J. Validation of multi-frequency bioelectrical impedance analysis versus dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry to measure body fat percentage in overweight/obese Colombian adults. Am J Hum Biol. 2018;30:e23071.
- Simopoulos AP. Characteristics of obesity: an overview. Ann N Y Acad Sci. 1987;499:4–13.
- 19. Lohman TG. Advanced in body composition assessment Current issues in exercise science series. Champaign: Human Kinetics; 1992. p. 80.
- Jia A, Xu S, Ming J, Xing Y, Guo J, Zhao M, et al. Body fat percentage cutoffs for risk of cardiometabolic abnormalities in the Chinese adult population: a nationwide study. Eur J Clin Nutr. 2018;72(5):728–35.
- Yamashita Y, Yamamoto A, Tamamori Y, Yoshii M, Nishiguchi Y. Side overlap esophagogastrostomy to prevent reflux after proximal gastrectomy. Gastric Cancer. 2017;20:728–35.
- 22. Dindo D, Demartines N, Clavien PA. Classification of surgical complications: a new proposal with evaluation in a cohort of 6336 patients and results of a survey. Ann Surg. 2004;240:205–13.
- Sugisawa N, Tokunaga M, Tanizawa Y, Bando E, Kawamura T, Terashima M. Intra-abdominal infectious complications following gastrectomy in patients with excessive visceral fat. Gastric Cancer. 2012;15:206–12.
- Dhar DK, Kubota H, Tachibana M, Kotoh T, Tabara H, Masunaga R, Kohno H, Nagasue N. Body mass index determines the success of lymph node dissection and predicts the outcome of gastric carcinoma patients. Oncology. 2000;59:18–23.
- 25. Katai H, Mizusawa J, Katayama H, Takagi M, Yoshikawa T, Fukagawa T, et al. Short-term surgical outcomes from a phase III study of laparoscopyassisted versus open distal gastrectomy with nodal dissection for clinical stage IA/IB gastric cancer: Japan Clinical Oncology Group Study JCOG0912. Gastric Cancer. 2017;20(4):699–708.
- Tokunaga M, Hiki N, Fukunaga T, Ogura T, Miyata S, Yamaguchi T. Effect of individual fat areas on early surgical outcomes after open gastrectomy for gastric cancer. Br J Surg. 2009;96:496–500.

- Wu XS, Wu WG, Li ML, Yang JH, Ding QC, Zhang L, et al. Impact of being overweight on the surgical outcomes of patients with gastric cancer: a meta-analysis. World J Gastroenterol. 2013;19:4596–606.
- Chen K, Pan Y, Zhai ST, Cai JQ, Chen QL, Chen DW, et al. Laparoscopic gastrectomy in obese gastric cancer patients: a comparative study with non-obese patients and evaluation of difference in laparoscopic methods. BMC Gastroenterol. 2017;17:78.
- Takeuchi M, Ishii K, Seki H, Yasui N, Sakata M, Shimada A, Matsumoto H. Excessive visceral fat area as a risk factor for early postoperative complications of total gastrectomy for gastric cancer: a retrospective cohort study. BMC Surg. 2016;16:54.
- Okada K, Nishigori T, Obama K, Tsunoda S, Hida K, Hisamori S, Sakai Y. The incidence of postoperative complications after gastrectomy increases in proportion to the amount of preoperative visceral fat. J Oncol. 2019. https://doi.org/10.1155/2019/8404383.
- Kunisaki C, Miyata H, Konno H, et al. Modeling preoperative risk factors for potentially lethal morbidities using a nationwide Japanese web-based database of patients undergoing distal gastrectomy for gastric cancer. Gastric Cancer. 2017;20:496–507.

- Watson RA, Pride NB, Thomas EL, Ind PW, Bell JD. Relation between trunk fat volume and reduction of total lung capacity in obese men. J Appl Physiol. 2012;112:118–26.
- 33. Cho H, Yoshikawa T, Oba MS, Hirabayashi N, Shirai J, Aoyama T, et al. Matched pair analysis to examine the effects of a planned preoperative exercise program in early gastric cancer patients with metabolic syndrome to reduce operative risk: the Adjuvant Exercise for General Elective Surgery (AEGES) study group. Ann Surg Oncol. 2014;21(6):2044–50.
- Furukawa K, Irino T, Makuuchi R, Koseki Y, Nakamura K, Waki Y, et al. Impact of preoperative wait time on survival in patients with clinical stage II/III gastric cancer. Gastric Cancer. 2019;22(4):864–72.

## **Publisher's Note**

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

#### Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

#### At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

